

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Acres Departs Virginia 22313-1450

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 02/20/2004 Mark W. Thompson P06711US0 6854 10/784,056 **EXAMINER** 34082 7590 11/02/2004 WATTS, DOUGLAS D ZARLEY LAW FIRM P.L.C. CAPITAL SQUARE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 400 LOCUST, SUITE 200 DES MOINES, IA 50309-2350 3724

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
Office Action Summary	10/784,056	THOMPSON, MARK W.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Douglas D. Watts	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap eriod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a oly within the statutory minimum of thi I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rly (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communical  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
tatus			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•		
2a)  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  This	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
isposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin-	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	1(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	ate have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		* *	
application from the International Burea		Treceived III tills Hational Glage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		t received.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
_	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/784,056

Art Unit: 3724

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 4-7, 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Arsenault.

Claims 1, 4-7, 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Ezell.

Claims 1, 4-7, 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Sugihara et al.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arsenault in view of Etchen. Arsenault shows the claimed invention except that the adjustable feature for the guard is not shown. Etchen an adjustable guard. It is adjustably vertically. Obviously one would want such an adjustable mounting for the guard of Arsenault to set the proper height after setting the position of the bracket on the support shaft.

Claims 3, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ezell.

It is well recognized that simply doubling or multiplying the number of a disclosed member or members would be obvious absent a showing of criticality or nonobviousness.

Claims 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugihara et al. Sugihara shows the claimed invention except that the guard may not be considered to be a brush. The guard of Sugihara is flexible and is formed as plural vertically extending members. This construction is very similar to a brush and it is therefor felt that using a brush would have been obvious. In other words a brush is a mechanical equivalent to the guard of Sugihara and would be obvious to use therewith.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas D. Watts whose telephone number is (703) 308-0153. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

Application/Control Number: 10/784,056

Art Unit: 3724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allen Shoap can be reached on (703) 308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DDW 10/28/04

DOUGLAS D. WATTS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Dans Must

Page 4